

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/802,356	WATANABE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeremy S. Cerullo	2112	

All Participants:

(1) Jeremy S. Cerullo.

(2) Thinh Nguyen.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006
Time: 4:30 pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 11, 14, 21, 24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted the Applicant's Representative to propose an amendment to the claims to place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner stated that if the limitations of Claim 4 were incorporated into Claim 1, then Claim 1 and its dependents would be allowable (like wise for Claims 21,24). Examiner also indicated that if Claims 11,14 were combined and elements regarding the multiplexer and demultiplexer were added, Claim 11 and its dependents would be allowable. Representative stated that he would need to contact the Applicant regarding the proposed amendments. Representative later contacted the Examiner (2 Feb 2006) to agree to the amendments and faxed a copy of the proposed amendments to the Examiner..